arXiv:1402.2268v2 [math.CV] 19 Aug 2014

Classes of hypercomplex polynomials of discrete variable based on the quasi-monomiality principle

N. Faustino[1,*]

Departamento de Matemática Aplicada, IMECC–Unicamp, CEP 13083–859, Campinas, SP, Brasil

Abstract

With the aim of derive a quasi-monomiality formulation in the context of discrete hypercomplex variables, one will amalgamate through a Clifford-algebraic structure of signature $(0, n)$ the umbral calculus framework with Lie-algebraic symmetries. The exponential generating function (**EGF**) carrying the *continuum* Dirac operator $D = \sum_{j=1}^{n} \mathbf{e}_j \partial_{x_j}$ together with the Lie-algebraic representation of raising and lowering operators acting on the lattice $h\mathbb{Z}^n$ is used to derive the corresponding hypercomplex polynomials of discrete variable as Appell sets with membership on the space Clifford-vector-valued polynomials. Some particular examples concerning this construction such as the hypercomplex versions of falling factorials and the Poisson-Charlier polynomials are introduced. Certain applications from the view of interpolation theory and integral transforms are also discussed.

Keywords: Appell sets, Clifford algebras, finite difference operators, monomiality principle, umbral calculus
2010 MSC: 30G35, 33C10, 33C80, 39A12

1. Introduction

1.1. State of Art

The modern language of Appell/Sheffer sets, described in terms of the *quasi-monomiality* formalism (see e.g. [7], [2] and the references given there), plays nowadays a central role in the construction of polynomial solutions for finite difference equations possessing Lie-algebraic symmetries (cf. [28],[10] & [22]). Although this formalism has been fully developed and popularized by Ben Cheikh, Dattoli, Srivastava *et all* (see e.g. [3], [27], [7], [4] and the references given there), the fundamentals of such theory were sketched in the former paper of Di Bucchianico-Loeb-Rota [9].

*Corresponding author
Email address: faustino@ime.unicamp.br (N. Faustino)
URL: https://sites.google.com/site/nelsonfaustinopt/ (N. Faustino)
[1]N. Faustino was supported by fellowship 13/07590-8 of FAPESP (S.P., Brazil).

Indeed, the construction of polynomial solutions based on operational methods has a long history that started with the study of classical equations of motion from the quantum mechanical side by Wigner (cf. [32]). Years later, Turbiner-Ushveridze [30], Gagnon-Winternitz [16] and numerous followers across extend this characterization, the so-called *quasi-exact solvability* condition, to generate polynomial spaces as finite-dimensional irreducible Fock spaces that invariant under the action of a *degree-preserving* operator, In the setting of quantum mechanics such operator may be described as a number operator (cf. [4],[31]).

In the context of hypercomplex variables, this quantum mechanical correspondence provided by the *quasi-exact solvability* condition was successfully applied in [6] to describe the spectrum of the quantum harmonic oscillator in terms of Clifford-Hermite functions/polynomials (see, for instance, [6, Theorem 3.1 & Theorem 3.2]).

The main goal of this paper is the hypercomplex extension of the quasi-monomiality formalism to discrete variables in interrelationship with Lie-algebraic representations of finite difference operators which are Clifford-vector-valued. In *continuum* this is currently an ongoing research topic (cf. [5], [11],[21]).

1.2. The Lie-algebraic background through hypercomplex variables

As described in [13], the main idea around Lie-algebraic discretization in the context of hypercomplex variables consists into start from a given algebra of radial type acting on the space of Clifford-vector-valued polynomials $\mathcal{P} = \mathbb{R}[x] \otimes C\ell_{0,n}$, a Hilbert module generated from the tensor product between the ring of multi-variable polynomials $\mathbb{R}[x]$ ($x \in \mathbb{R}^n$) and the Clifford algebra $C\ell_{0,n}$ of signature $(0, n)$.

The rigorous formulation of this approach is based on the study of left representations with membership on the algebra of endomorphisms $\mathrm{End}(\mathcal{P})$ through the canonical correspondence

$$\mathrm{End}(\mathcal{P}) \cong \mathrm{Alg}\left\{L_j, M_j, \mathbf{e}_j \ : \ j = 1, \ldots, n\right\}. \tag{1}$$

Here and elsewhere $L_1, L_2, \ldots, L_n, M_1, M_2, \ldots, M_n$ together with the identity operator $I : \mathbf{f}(x) \mapsto \mathbf{f}(x)$ are assumed to be the canonical generators of the Weyl-Heisenberg algebra with dimension $2n + 1$ satisfying the graded commuting relations

$$[L_j, L_k] = 0, \quad [M_j, M_k] = 0, \quad [L_j, M_k] = \delta_{jk} I \tag{2}$$

whereas $\mathbf{e}_1, \mathbf{e}_2, \ldots, \mathbf{e}_n$ is fixed as an orthogonal basis of $\mathbb{R}^n$ satisfying, for each $j, k = 1, 2, \ldots, n$, the set of graded anti-commuting relations

$$\mathbf{e}_j \mathbf{e}_k + \mathbf{e}_k \mathbf{e}_j = -2\delta_{jk}. \tag{3}$$

In this context, the canonical description of $\mathrm{End}(\mathcal{P})$ provided by (1) thus corresponds to the Lie-algebraic counterpart of the radial algebra introduced by Sommen in [29]. Moreover, the left endomorphisms $L = \sum_{j=1}^{n} \mathbf{e}_j L_j$ and $M = \sum_{j=1}^{n} \mathbf{e}_j M_j$ encode the underlying symmetries of the orthosymplectic Lie algebra $\mathfrak{osp}(1|2)$ (cf. [13, Subsection 2.3]).

As examples of Appell sequences that can be derived from this scheme one can mention the hypercomplex extension of the multi-index factorial powers considered in [12, Subsection 3.2] as the discrete counterpart of the Clifford-vector-valued homogeneous

polynomials used to determine the Fischer decomposition with respect to the finite difference Dirac operators $D_h^{\pm}$ of forward/backward type (see also [13, Subsection 3.1]). In intertwine with Howe's invariant theory [19], the same scheme was recently exploited by the author in [14] to construct *degree-preserving* spaces of Clifford-vector-valued polynomials on the lattice $h\mathbb{Z}^n$ as invariant and irreducible spaces with respect to the Howe dual pair $(SO(n), \mathfrak{su}(1,1))$.

The *quasi-monomiality* principle[2] provided by the set of operators L_j and M_j amalgamates most of the substantial work already done in the study of multi-variable Appell sequences through continuous and discrete variables (cf. [3],[7],[2],[31]). In concrete, if the set of multi-variable polynomials $\{\mathbf{m}_\alpha(x) \ : \ \alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^n\}$, determined by the condition $\mathbf{m_0}(x) = 1$ ($\mathbf{0} \in \mathbb{R}^n$) and by the set of quasi-monomiality constraints

$$L_j \mathbf{m}_\alpha(x) = \alpha_j \mathbf{m}_{\alpha - \mathbf{e}_j}(x) \quad \text{and} \quad M_j \mathbf{m}_\alpha(x) = \mathbf{m}_{\alpha + \mathbf{e}_j}(x), \tag{4}$$

with $\alpha = \sum_{j=1}^n \alpha_j \mathbf{e}_j$ has

$$\sum_{|\alpha|=0}^{\infty} \mathbf{m}_\alpha(x) \, \frac{y^\alpha}{\alpha!} \quad = \quad \prod_{j=1}^{n} \frac{1}{\kappa\left(\ell^{-1}(y_j)\right)} \, \exp\left(x_j \ell^{-1}(y_j)\right) \tag{5}$$

as multi-variable exponential generating function (**EGF**)[3] then, the associated set of ladder operators L_j and M_j, given by (cf. [4, Section 3])

$$\begin{array}{rcl} L_j & = & \ell\left(\partial_{x_j}\right) \\ M_j & = & \left(x_j - \kappa'\left(\partial_{x_j}\right) \kappa\left(\partial_{x_j}\right)^{-1}\right) \ell'\left(\partial_{x_j}\right)^{-1} \end{array} \tag{6}$$

satisfy the Weyl-Heisenberg graded commuting relations (2).

The implicit mathematical conditions corresponding to the above characterization (see also [25, Theorem 2.5.3] and [25, Theorem 3.7.1]) are the isomorphism between the algebra of formal power series and the algebra of linear functionals carrying the algebra of multi-variable polynomials (cf. [25, Theorem 2.1.1]) and the *shift-invariant* property (cf. [25, Corollary 2.2.8]) underlying the set of operators $\kappa\left(\partial_{x_j}\right)$ and $\ell\left(\partial_{x_j}\right)$ obtained through the substitutions $t \to \partial_{x_j}$ on

$$\kappa(t) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_k \frac{t^k}{k!} \quad \text{and} \quad \ell(t) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} b_k \frac{t^k}{k!}.$$

The one-to-one correspondence between linear functionals and formal power series also shows that $\ell'\left(\partial_{x_j}\right)$ is a *shift-invariant* operator that coincides with $L_j' := [L_j, x_j]$, the so-called *Pincherle derivative*[4] (cf. [10, Section 2]). The existence of $\ell'\left(\partial_{x_j}\right)^{-1}$ is thus assured by the condition $\ell'\left(\partial_{x_j}\right) 1 \neq 0$ (cf. [22, Subsection 2.1]).

---

[2] From the view of quantum field theory, the *quasi-monomiality* principle provides a meaningful interpretation of the second quantization formalism.

[3] In the operational form, the multi-variable **EGF** may be represented through the action of the exponentiation operator $\exp\left(\sum_{j=1}^n y_j M_j\right)$ on $\mathbf{m_0}(x) = 1$.

[4] In Roman's book [25] the Pincherle derivative associated to $\ell'\left(\partial_{x_j}\right)$ can be found on **Chapter 2**, **Section 6** under the name of *umbral shift*.

1.3. Outline of the paper

In this paper, the *quasi-monomialy* approach is extended to discrete hypercomplex variables based on the Lie-algebraic formulation revisited in Subsection 1.2. To begin with, we started Section 2 by formulating the quasi-monomiality principle with respect to the finite difference Dirac operator D_h^+ and the multi-variable **EGF** of the form

$$G_h(x,y;\kappa)=\prod_{j=1}^{n}\frac{1}{\kappa\left(\frac{1}{h}\log\left(1+hy_j\right)\right)}\ \left(1+hy_j\right)^{\frac{x_j}{h}}. \tag{7}$$

Based on the knowledge of (7), the main results concerning this approach are also proved in Section 2. One starts in Proposition 3.1 by showing that, under the existence of a multi-variable function $\lambda(y)$ $(y\in\mathbb{R}^n)$ satisfying the constraint

$$\lambda\left(\frac{D_h^+\exp(x\cdot y)}{\exp(x\cdot y)}\right)=\prod_{j=1}^{n}\kappa(y_j),$$

the Fourier dual Λ_h of D_h^+ is uniquely determined. Afterwards, based on the knowledge of $\lambda(y)$ it follows from Proposition 3.2 that Λ_h admits an operational representation similar to the Rodrigues formula used in [6, Theorem 3.2] to determine an operational representation for the Clifford-Hermite polynomials. As briefly sketched in Remark 3.1, the hypercomplex version of the Poisson-Charlier polynomials obtained in Example 3.3 may be viewed as discrete analogues for the Clifford-Hermite polynomials.

Proposition 3.2 is also applied to show that, for a given set of μ_k's, the resulting Appell set $\{\mathbf{w}_k(x;h;\lambda)\ :\ k\in\mathbb{N}_0\}$ of Clifford-vector-valued polynomials, constructed from the operational rule $\mathbf{w}_k(x;h;\lambda)=\mu_k\left(\Lambda_h\right)^k\mathbf{a}$ $(\mathbf{a}\in C\ell_{0,n})$, may be determined as an integral type transform written in terms of the hypercomplex version for the multi-index falling factorials obtained in Example 3.2. This characterization corresponds to Corollary 3.1.

From the combination of the aformentioned results with the hypercomplex **EGF** $\mathbf{G}(x,t)$ obtained in Proposition 3.3 for the *continuum* Dirac operator $D=\sum_{j=1}^{n}\mathbf{e}_j\partial_{x_j}$, one gets in Corollary 3.2 a closed formula for the hypercomplex **EGF** $\mathbf{G}_h(x,t;\lambda)$ underlying the Appell set $\{\mathbf{w}_k(x;h;\lambda)\ :\ k\in\mathbb{N}_0\}$ as the hypercomplex counterpart of the multi-variable **EGF** (7).

As an illustration of this approach, we discuss in Section 4 further applications in the context of interpolation theory and integral transforms as well. In the same train of though of [18], the restriction of the continuous Fourier transform to the $n-$dimensional cube $Q_h=\left(-\frac{\pi}{h},\frac{\pi}{h}\right)^n$ is used to get an integral representation for each Clifford-vector-valued polynomial $\mathbf{w}_k(x;h,\lambda)$ on the momentum space.

The operational framework developed throughout this paper is slighly different from other recent approaches on this direction (see e.g. [5, Sections 4 & 5] and [11, Section 4]), though there is some connection with these (see Remark 3.2). The main novelty addressed here is the incorporation of the multi-variable **EGF** on the *quasi-monomiality* formulation. On the other hand, through the construction obtained in Example 2.2 the presented approach shall also fits into the framework developed in [23, Section 2] for 'generalized' Bernoulli polynomials, although it does not incorporates *a-priori* a set of 'regular variables' (see e.g. [17, Subsection 2.2] on which such construction was revisited and discussed in depth).

2. Quasi-Monomiality through discrete hypercomplex variables

2.1. Discrete Clifford calculus

In this subsection some basic definitions and properties carrying the discrete Clifford setting will be collected. Further details concerning the definition and properties of Clifford algebras may be found in [29], [17, Chapter 1] or even in [24, Chapter 2]. For an overview of finite difference discretizations of Dirac operators one refer to [17, Chapter 5] and [12, Section 2]. For the construction of finite difference discretizations on the lattice based on the interplay between finite difference calculus and Lie-algebraic symmetries one refer to [13, Subsection 2.1] and [14, Section 2].

Let $\mathbb{R}[x]$ be the ring of multi-variable polynomials in the variable $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and $\mathbf{e}_1, \mathbf{e}_2, \ldots, \mathbf{e}_n$ an orthogonal basis of $\mathbb{R}^n$. The Clifford algebra of signature $(0, n)$, denoted by $C\ell_{0,n}$, is an algebra with dimension 2^n in which the $\mathbf{e}_j$'s satisfy the graded commuting relations (3). Under the linear space isomorphic between $C\ell_{0,n}$ and the exterior algebra $\Lambda^* (\mathbb{R}^n)$ determined from linearity arguments through the set of mappings

$$\mathbf{e}_{j_1}\mathbf{e}_{j_2}\ldots\mathbf{e}_{j_r} \mapsto dx_{j_1}dx_{j_2}\ldots dx_{j_r},$$

with $1 \leq j_1 < j_2 < \ldots < j_r \leq n$, it follows that every Clifford number may be written as a linear combination involving r-multivector bases of the form $\mathbf{e}_{j_1}\mathbf{e}_{j_2}\ldots\mathbf{e}_{j_r}$. That is, for a given subset $J = \{j_1, j_2, \ldots, j_r\}$ of $\{1, 2, \ldots, n\}$, any $\mathbf{a} \in C\ell_{0,n}$ is represented through the summation formula

$$\mathbf{a} = \sum_{r=0}^{n} \sum_{|J|=r} a_J \, \mathbf{e}_J, \quad \text{with} \quad \mathbf{e}_J = \mathbf{e}_{j_1}\mathbf{e}_{j_2}\ldots\mathbf{e}_{j_r}.$$

In particular, any vector $(x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n)$ of $\mathbb{R}^n$ are represented as $x = \sum_{j=1}^{n} x_j\mathbf{e}_j$ whereas the translations $(x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_j \pm h, \ldots, x_n)$ on the lattice $h\mathbb{Z}^n \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ with mesh width $h > 0$ are described as $x \pm h\mathbf{e}_j$. In the same order of ideas, every multi-index $(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \ldots, \alpha_n)$ with membership in $\mathbb{N}_0^n$ may be represented as $\alpha = \sum_{j=1}^{n} \alpha_j\mathbf{e}_j$.

The Clifford algebra $C\ell_{0,n}$ is indeed an associative algebra with identity 1, containing $\mathbb{R}$ and $\mathbb{R}^n$ as subspaces. Its center, generated from the anti-commutator $xy + yx$ between two Clifford vectors $x = \sum_{j=1}^{n} x_j\mathbf{e}_j$ and $y = \sum_{j=1}^{n} y_j\mathbf{e}_j$, gives rise to the following inner product relation

$$\sum_{j=1}^{n} x_j y_j = -\frac{1}{2}(xy + yx),$$

denoted throughout this paper by $x \cdot y$.

Next, for each Clifford-vector-valued function $\mathbf{f}(x) = \sum_{r=0}^{n} \sum_{|J|=r} f_J(x)\mathbf{e}_J$, with $f_J(x)$ real-valued, one define the forward/backward discretizations for the partial derivatives ∂_{x_j} on the lattice $h\mathbb{Z}^n$ as

$$(\partial_h^{+j}\mathbf{f})(x) = \frac{\mathbf{f}(x + h\mathbf{e}_j) - \mathbf{f}(x)}{h} \quad \text{and} \quad (\partial_h^{-j}\mathbf{f})(x) = \frac{\mathbf{f}(x) - \mathbf{f}(x - h\mathbf{e}_j)}{h}. \tag{8}$$

The forward and backward finite difference operators, ∂_h^{+j} and ∂_h^{-j} respectively, are intertwined by the translation operators $(T_h^{\pm j}\mathbf{f})(x) = \mathbf{f}(x \pm h\mathbf{e}_j)$ i.e.

$$T_h^{-j}(\partial_h^{+j}\mathbf{f})(x) = (\partial_h^{-j}\mathbf{f})(x) \quad \text{and} \quad T_h^{+j}(\partial_h^{-j}\mathbf{f})(x) = (\partial_h^{+j}\mathbf{f})(x). \tag{9}$$

Moreover, they satisfy the set of product rules

$$\begin{array}{rcl} \partial_h^{+j}\left(\mathbf{g}(x)\mathbf{f}(x)\right) & = & (\partial_h^{+j}\mathbf{g})(x)\mathbf{f}(x+h\mathbf{e}_j)+\mathbf{g}(x)(\partial_h^{+j}\mathbf{f})(x) \\ \partial_h^{-j}\left(\mathbf{g}(x)\mathbf{f}(x)\right) & = & (\partial_h^{-j}\mathbf{g})(x)\mathbf{f}(x-h\mathbf{e}_j)+\mathbf{g}(x)(\partial_h^{-j}\mathbf{f})(x). \end{array} \tag{10}$$

Based on (8), one introduce the forward/backward discretizations $D_h^{\pm}$ for the *continuum* Dirac operator $D=\sum_{j=1}^n \mathbf{e}_j\partial_{x_j}$ as follows:

$$D_h^+=\sum_{j=1}^n \mathbf{e}_j\partial_h^{+j} \quad \text{and} \quad D_h^-=\sum_{j=1}^n \mathbf{e}_j\partial_h^{-j}. \tag{11}$$

Let us now restrict ourselves to Clifford-vector-valued functions with membership in the space of Clifford-vector-valued polynomials $\mathcal{P}=\mathbb{R}[x]\otimes C\ell_{0,n}$. From the set of product rules (10) one can see that the simplest representation underlying the algebra of endomorphisms $\mathrm{End}(\mathcal{P})$, as described through the canonical correspondence (1), is given by the forward/backward discretizations ∂_h^{+j} resp. ∂_h^{-j} and the multiplication operators $x_jT_h^{-j}:\mathbf{f}(x)\mapsto x_j\mathbf{f}(x-h\mathbf{e}_j)$ resp. $x_jT_h^{+j}:\mathbf{f}(x)\mapsto x_j\mathbf{f}(x+h\mathbf{e}_j)$. Indeed, a simple computation based in (10) yield the graded commuting rules

$$\left[\partial_h^{+j},x_kT_h^{-k}\right]=\delta_{jk}I \quad \text{and} \quad \left[\partial_h^{-j},x_kT_h^{+k}\right]=\delta_{jk}I,$$

The combination of these relations with the mutual commutativity of $x_jT_h^{-j}$ resp. $x_jT_h^{+j}$ naturally lead to the set of Weyl-Heisenberg relations (2).

Based on this correspondence, the operators X_h and X_{-h} defined via the coordinate formula

$$X_\varepsilon:\mathbf{f}(x)\mapsto\sum_{j=1}\mathbf{e}_jx_j\mathbf{f}(x-\varepsilon\mathbf{e}_j) \tag{12}$$

are thus the corresponding *Fourier duals*[5] of D_h^+ and D_h^-, respectively.

2.2. Quasi-monomiality formulation on the lattice

Without loss of generality one will consider the forward differences ∂_h^{+j} as the corresponding lowering operators embody in (4) and the finite difference Dirac operator D_h^+ of forward type as the corresponding discretization of $D=\sum_{j=1}^n \mathbf{e}_j\partial_{x_j}$. From the definitions of ∂_h^{+j} and D_h^+ labeled by (8) and (11), respectively, it follows that the multivariable **EGF**

$$G_h(x,y)=\prod_{j=1}^n\left(1+hy_j\right)^{\frac{x_j}{h}} \tag{13}$$

converges asymptotically to $G(x,y)=\exp(x\cdot y)$, as h approaches to zero, and satisfies for each $y=\sum_{j=1}^n y_j\mathbf{e}_j\in C\ell_{0,n}$ the eigenvalue equation $D_h^+G_h(x,y)=yG_h(x,y)$.

[5]In the language of Clifford analysis it is also common to use the terminology *Fischer duality* (see e.g. [21]) instead of the *Fourier duality* terminology arising in the setting of invariant theory (cf. [19]).

Now let us denote by $|\alpha| = \sum_{j=1}^{n} \alpha_j$ the sum of all the components of the multi-index representation $\alpha = \sum_{j=1}^{n} \alpha_j \mathbf{e}_j$ and by $\alpha! = \alpha_1! \alpha_2! \dots \alpha_n!$ the corresponding multi-index factorial. In terms of the multi-index falling factorials of degree $|\alpha|$, defined as

$$(x;h)_\alpha = \prod_{j=1}^{n} \prod_{k=0}^{\alpha_j - 1} (x_j - kh), \tag{14}$$

the multi-variable **EGF** (13) may be written as

$$G_h(x,y) = \prod_{j=1}^{n} \exp\Big(\frac{x_j}{h} \log(1 + h y_j)\Big) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \sum_{|\alpha|=k} (x;h)_\alpha \frac{y^\alpha}{\alpha!}.$$

Based on the operational identity

$$(x;h)_\alpha = \prod_{j=1}^{n} \Big(x_j T_h^{-j}\Big)^{\alpha_j} 1$$

one can also conclude that the multi-index falling factorials (14) are the simplest quasi-monomial counterparts of the classical multi-variable monomials $x^\alpha = x_1^{\alpha_1} x_2^{\alpha_2} \dots x_n^{\alpha_n}$ on the lattice $h\mathbb{Z}^n$. On the other hand, the Taylor series expansion

$$\mathbf{f}(x + h\mathbf{e}_j) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k!} \big(\partial_{x_j}\big)^k \mathbf{f}(x)$$

together with [25, Theorem 2.1.1] gives rise to the operational representation $T_h^{+j} = \exp\big(h\partial_{x_j}\big)$ at the level of $\mathcal{P} = \mathbb{R}[x] \otimes C\ell_{0,n}$, and moreover, to the formal inversion formula

$$\partial_{x_j} = \frac{1}{h} \log\Big(1 + h\partial_h^{+j}\Big) \tag{15}$$

written in terms of the logarithmic function $\log(t) = \int_1^t \frac{ds}{s}$. This in turn shows that the raising/lowering operators considered above are a particular example of (6). The functions $\kappa(t)$ and $\ell(t)$ are then given by $\kappa(t) = 1$ and $\ell(t) = \frac{\exp(ht)-1}{h}$.

Remark 2.1. *When the forward finite difference operators ∂_h^{+j} are replaced by the backward finite difference operators ∂_h^{-j}, it can be easily seen from (13) resp. (14) that $G_{-h}(x,y)$ resp. $\{(x;-h)_\alpha \ : \ \alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^n\}$ is the corresponding multi-variable* **EGF** *resp. Sheffer set of multi-variable polynomials that encodes, for each $j = 1, 2, \dots, n$, the set of raising operators $x_j T_h^{+j}$.*

Generally speaking, the quasi-monomials on the lattice $h\mathbb{Z}^n$ constructed from the quasi-monomial operational representation

$$\mathbf{m}_\alpha(x) = \prod_{j=1}^{n} (M_j)^{\alpha_j} 1 \tag{16}$$

enables us to compute most of the families of polynomials already considered in [28], [9], [20], [3], [22], [4] and [31]. In particular, based on the formal series representation $\partial_{x_j} = \frac{1}{h}\log T_h^{+j}$ obtained from (15) and on the power series expansion for $\kappa(t)$, described as

$$\kappa(t) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_k \frac{t^k}{k!} \quad \text{with} \quad \kappa(0) = a_0 \neq 0,$$

one can see that the multi-variable **EGF** $G_h(x, y; \kappa)$ defined *viz* equation (7) encodes the set of ladder operators

$$L_j = \partial_h^{+j} \text{ and } M_j = \left(x_j - \kappa'(\partial_{x_j})\kappa\left(\partial_{x_j}\right)^{-1}\right) T_h^{-j}.$$

Moreover, they correspond to the generators of the Weyl-Heisenberg algebra with dimension $2n + 1$.

We finish this subsection with three examples that illustrates the applicability of the *quasi-monomiality* approach on the lattice $h\mathbb{Z}^n$. The first two examples involve the multi-variable Poisson-Charlier polynomials and the multi-variable Bernoulli polynomials of the second kind. On the third example, one will sketch how the multi-variable quasi-monomials encoded by the central finite difference operators $L_j = \frac{1}{2}\left(\partial_h^{+j} + \partial_h^{-j}\right)$ may be computed from the multi-variable **EGF** (13).

Example 2.1 (Multi-variable Poisson-Charlier polynomials). *For a parameter $a \in \mathbb{R}$, the multi-variable Poisson-Charlier polynomials $\mathbf{c}_\alpha(x; h, a)$ may be constructed from the multi-variable* **EGF**

$$G_h(x, y; \kappa) = \prod_{j=1}^{n} \exp(-ay_j)\left(1 + hy_j\right)^{\frac{x_j}{h}}.$$

The power series expansion $\kappa(t)$ satisfying $\kappa\left(\frac{1}{h}\log(1 + ht)\right) = \exp(at)$ is given by the function

$$\kappa(t) = \exp\left(\frac{a}{h}\left(\exp(ht) - 1\right)\right).$$

Therefore, the raising operators $M_j = x_j T_h^{-j} - aI$ are thus obtained from the substitutions $t \to \partial_{x_j}$ on $\frac{\kappa'(t)}{\kappa(t)} = a\exp(ht)$.

Example 2.2 (Multi-variable Bernoulli polynomials of the second kind). *Based on the function $\kappa(t) = \frac{ht}{\exp(ht)-1}$ and on the series expansion*

$$\frac{1}{t} = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty}(1-t)^m = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty}\sum_{k=0}^{m}\left(\begin{array}{c} m \\ k \end{array}\right)(-1)^k t^k,$$

with $0 < t < 2$, one obtains the multi-variable **EGF**, *logarithmic derivative and raising operators underlying to the multi-variable Bernoulli polynomials $\mathbf{b}_\alpha(x; h)$ of the second kind. They are described as follows:*

- **Multi-variable EGF:**

$$G_h(x,y;\kappa)=\prod_{j=1}^{n}\frac{\log\left(1+hy_j\right)}{hy_j}\ \left(1+hy_j\right)^{\frac{x_j}{h}}.$$

- **Logarithmic derivative:** $\frac{\kappa'(t)}{\kappa(t)}=\frac{1}{t}-\frac{h}{1-\exp(-ht)}$.

- **Raising operators:**

$$M_j=x_jT_h^{-j}-\sum_{m=0}^{\infty}\sum_{k=0}^{m}\left(\begin{array}{c}m\\k\end{array}\right)(-1)^k\left(\frac{1}{h}\log T_h^{+j}\right)^k-h\left(T_h^{-j}\right)^m.$$

Herewith $\left(\frac{1}{h}\log T_h^{+j}\right)^k$ *is nothing else than the formal representation of the iterated partial derivative* $\left(\partial_{x_j}\right)^k$ *on the lattice* $h\mathbb{Z}^n$ *whereas* $\left(T_h^{-j}\right)^m=\exp\left(-mh\partial_{x_j}\right)$.

Example 2.3 (Quasi-monomials carrying central differences). *When the forward finite difference operators* ∂_h^{+j} *are replaced by the central finite difference operators* $L_j=\frac{1}{2}\left(\partial_h^{+j}+\partial_h^{-j}\right)$ *one gets from its formal power series representation* $L_j=\dfrac{1}{h}\sinh\left(h\partial_{x_j}\right)$ *and from [25, Theorem 2.1.1] that the multi-variable* **EGF** *of the form*

$$\prod_{j=1}^{n}\exp\left(\frac{x_j}{h}\sinh^{-1}(hy_j)\right)=\prod_{j=1}^{n}\left(hy_j+\sqrt{1+h^2y_j^2}\right)^{\frac{x_j}{h}}.$$

encodes the set of ladder operators L_j *and* $M_j=x_j[L_j,x_j]^{-1}$, *with* $[L_j,x_j]=\cosh(h\partial_{x_j})$. *Here one recall that the right hand side of the above formula follows from the fact that* $\sinh^{-1}(t)=\log\left(t+\sqrt{1+t^2}\right)$.

In terms of the vector-field $u:y\mapsto u(y)$, *defined componentwise via the set of transformations*

$$u_j(y)=y_j-\frac{1}{h}+\sqrt{\frac{1}{h^2}+y_j^2}$$

the above multi-variable **EGF** *is thus equal to* $G_h(x,u(y))$, *where* $G_h(x,y)$ *stands the multi-variable* **EGF** *(13).*

This enables to compute the quasi-monomials $\mathbf{m}_\alpha(x)$ *generated from the operational rule (16) through the action of the multi-index derivative*

$$(\partial_y)^\alpha=(\partial_{y_1})^{\alpha_1}(\partial_{y_2})^{\alpha_2}\ldots(\partial_{y_n})^{\alpha_n}$$

on $G_h(x,u(y))$. *In concrete, from (15) one gets*

$$\mathbf{m}_\alpha(x)=\left[(\partial_y)^\alpha G_h(x,u(y))\right]_{y=\mathbf{0}}=\frac{\gamma_\alpha}{\alpha!}\ (x;h)_\alpha$$

with $\gamma_\alpha=\left[(\partial_y)^\alpha u(y)^\alpha\right]_{y=\mathbf{0}}$.

Here one recall that $(x;h)_\alpha$ *denotes the multi-index falling factorial of degree* $|\alpha|$ *defined viz (13).*

Remark 2.2. *In contrast with [10, Example 4 of Section 2], where the quasi-monomials carrying central finite difference operators were computed by a binomial convolution formula, the quasi-monomials obtained in Example 2.3 correspond to the 'Taylor coefficients' of the multi-variable* **EGF** $G_h(x, u(y))$.

Remark 2.3. *The Bernoulli polynomials of the second kind considered in Example 2.2 may also be formulated via formal series representations of integral operators.*

One suggest the interested reader to take a look for the sequence of examples explored in [9, Subsection 4.2], on which families of Bernoulli polynomials of the second kind, encoded by a central finite difference operator, were described in terms of the Bessel functions $J_s(u) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(s+1)} \left(\frac{u}{2}\right)^s \, {}_0F_1 \left(s+1; -\frac{u^2}{4}\right)$.

3. The hypercomplex approach

3.1. Classes of Clifford-vector-valued raising operators

Based on the Lie-algebraic description for the Fischer duals of D_h^+, we now proceed to the construction of hypercomplex extensions for the quasi-monomial basis (16). Such description, as obtained in the following proposition, encompasses the set of ladder operators M_j obtained in Subsection 2.2.

Proposition 3.1. *Let* $\kappa(t)$ *defined as above and* X_h *the multiplication operator defined viz (12). If there is a multi-variable function* $\lambda(y)$ *(*$y \in \mathbb{R}^n$*) such that*

$$\lambda\left(\frac{D_h^+ \exp(x \cdot y)}{\exp(x \cdot y)}\right) = \prod_{j=1}^{n} \kappa(y_j),$$

then the Fourier dual Λ_h *of* D_h^+ *is given by*

$$\Lambda_h \quad = \quad X_h - \left[\log \lambda\left(D_h^+\right), x\right].$$

Proof: First, recall that from [25, Theorem 3.6.5] and from the isomorphism between the algebra of formal power series and the algebra of linear functionals (cf. [25, Theorem 2.1.1]) there is a one-to-one correspondence between the logarithmic derivative

$$\frac{\kappa'(y_j)}{\kappa(y_j)} = \left[\frac{d \log(\kappa(t))}{dt}\right]_{t=y_j}$$

and the Pincherle derivative $\left[\log\left(\kappa\left(\partial_{x_j}\right)\right), x_j\right] = \kappa'(\partial_{x_j})\kappa(\partial_{x_j})^{-1}$.

In the same order of ideas, for $L_j = \partial_h^{+j}$ there is a one-to-one correspondence between the backward shift $T_h^{-j} = \left(T_h^{+j}\right)^{-1} = \left[\partial_h^{+j}, x_j\right]^{-1}$ that yields from the product rules (10) and the exponentiation relation $\exp(-hy_j) = \exp(hy_j)^{-1}$.

Then, the *Fourier dual* $\Lambda_h = \sum_{j=1}^{n} \mathbf{e}_j M_j$ constructed from (6) have the following Lie-algebraic representation in the algebra $\mathrm{End}(\mathcal{P})$:

$$\Lambda_h = X_h - \sum_{j=1}^{n} \mathbf{e}_j \kappa'(\partial_{x_j})\kappa(\partial_{x_j})^{-1} T_h^{-j}. \tag{17}$$

Now let us take a close look for the commutator $\left[\log\lambda(D_h^+), x\right]$. A short computation based on the identity $\exp\left((x+h\mathbf{e}_j)\cdot y\right) = \exp(x\cdot y)\exp(hy_j)$ shows that the quantity

$$\frac{D_h^+\exp(x\cdot y)}{\exp(x\cdot y)} = \sum_{j=1}^{n}\mathbf{e}_j\frac{\exp(hy_j)-1}{h}$$

corresponds to the representation of D_h^+ in the algebra of formal power series expansions (cf. [25, Theorem 2.1.1]).

Combination of the chain rule

$$\partial_{y_j}(\log\lambda)\left(\frac{D_h^+\exp(x\cdot y)}{\exp(x\cdot y)}\right)\ \exp(hy_j) = \frac{\kappa'(y_j)}{\kappa(y_j)}$$

with [25, Theorem 3.6.5] result into the sequence of identities

$$\begin{aligned}\left[\log\lambda(D_h^+), x\right] &= \sum_{j=1}^{n}\mathbf{e}_j\left[\log\lambda(D_h^+), x_j\right]\\ &= \sum_{j=1}^{n}\mathbf{e}_j\left[\log\left(\kappa\left(\partial_{x_j}\right)\right), x_j\right]\exp(-h\partial_{x_j})\\ &= \sum_{j=1}^{n}\mathbf{e}_j\kappa'(\partial_{x_j})\kappa(\partial_{x_j})^{-1}T_h^{-j}.\end{aligned}$$

Hence, the equation (17) is equivalent to

$$\Lambda_h = X_h - \left[\log\lambda\left(D_h^+\right), x\right].$$

■

Recall that from Proposition 3.1, the set of formal inversion formulae (15) together with [25, Theorem 2.1.1] even shows that the multi-variable function $\lambda(y)$ $(y\in\mathbb{R}^n)$ always exists and it is explicitly given by

$$\lambda(y) = \prod_{j=1}^{n}\kappa\left(\frac{1}{h}\log(1+hy_j)\right). \tag{18}$$

So, one looks for the ladder operators Λ_h as covariant versions of the X_h defined *viz* equation (12) on which the operator $\lambda(D_h^+)$ is obtained from the substitutions $y_j\to\partial_h^{+j}$ on the right hand side of (18).

Regardless this construction one can easily see that the multi-variable function of the form $\lambda(y) = \prod_{j=1}^{n}(1+hy_j)^{d_j}$ $(d_j\in\mathbb{R})$ yields $\Lambda_h = \sum_{j=1}^{n}\mathbf{e}_j(x_j - d_jh)T_h^{-j}$ as the finite difference counterpart of the multiplication operator $X = \sum_{j=1}^{n}\mathbf{e}_j\ x_jI$ on $h\mathbb{Z}^n$.

Analogously, one can also use the same scheme to construct finite difference discretizations for the Clifford-Hermite operator (cf. [6, Subsection 3.2])

$$X - D = -\exp\left(\frac{|x|^2}{2}\right)D\exp\left(-\frac{|x|^2}{2}\right). \tag{19}$$

For example, the Fischer dual $\Lambda_h = X_h - D_h^-$ of D_h^+, with $D_h^- = \big[\log\lambda(D_h^+), x\big]$, arises in case where $\lambda(y)$ satisfies the $\log-$constraint

$$\log\lambda\left(\frac{D_h^+\exp(x\cdot y)}{\exp(x\cdot y)}\right) = \sum_{j=1}^{n}\frac{\exp(hy_j)-hy_j}{h^2}.$$

As illustrated on the next example, such operational scheme enable us a fully rigorous way to obtain a wide class of Fischer duals for D_h^+.

Example 3.1 (A non-trivial discretization for the Clifford-Hermite operator). *For the multi-variable function $\lambda(y)$ defined as*

$$\lambda(y) = \prod_{j=1}^{n}\exp\left(\frac{1+hy_j}{2h^2}+\frac{1}{(2+2hy_j)h^2}\right)$$

one has

$$\lambda\left(\frac{D_h^+\exp(x\cdot y)}{\exp(x\cdot y)}\right) = \prod_{j=1}^{n}\exp\left(\frac{\cosh(hy_j)}{h^2}\right).$$

Moreover, the set of identities $(\partial_{y_j}\cosh)(hy_j) = h\sinh(hy_j)$ and

$$\frac{\sinh(hy_j)}{h}\exp(-hy_j) = \frac{1-\exp(-2hy_j)}{2h}$$

leads to $\big[\log\lambda(D_h^+), x_j\big] = \partial_{2h}^{-j}$, and hence, to

$$[\log\lambda(D_h^+), x] = \sum_{j=1}^{n}\mathbf{e}_j[\log\lambda(D_h^+), x_j] = D_{2h}^-.$$

Under the above choice for $\lambda(y)$, the discretization for the Clifford-Hermite operator (19) is thus given by $\Lambda_h = X_h - D_{2h}^-$, where D_{2h}^- stands the finite difference Dirac operator of backward type underlying to the coarse lattice $(2h)\mathbb{Z}^n$ of $h\mathbb{Z}^n$.

3.2. Appell set formulation for finite difference Dirac operators

Once developed over the previous subsection the key tools to formulate the hypercomplex extension of the quasi-monomiality principle (4), one have now the minimal amount of tools required to construct Appell sets of Clifford-vector-valued polynomials on the lattice $h\mathbb{Z}^n$.

One say that the set $\{\mathbf{w}_k(x;h;\lambda) \;:\; k\in\mathbb{N}_0\}$ of Clifford-vector-valued polynomials is an Appell set with respect to D_h^+ if $\mathbf{w}_0(x;h;\lambda) = \mathbf{a}$ is a Clifford number and $D_h^+\mathbf{w}_k(x;h;\lambda)$ is a Clifford-vector-valued polynomial of degree $k-1$ satisfying the Appell set property

$$D_h^+\mathbf{w}_k(x;h;\lambda) = k\mathbf{w}_{k-1}(x;h;\lambda). \tag{20}$$

Equivalently, the construction of Appell sets may be formulated as a time-evolution problem in the space-time domain $h\mathbb{Z}^n \times \mathbb{R}$, described as follows: Find for each $(x,t) \in h\mathbb{Z}^n \times \mathbb{R}$ a function $\mathbf{G}_h(x,t;\lambda)$ that satisfies the set of equations

$$\begin{cases} D_h^+ \mathbf{G}_h(x,t;\lambda) = t\mathbf{G}_h(x,t;\lambda) & \text{for} \quad (x,t) \in h\mathbb{Z}^n \times \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\} \\ \\ \mathbf{G}_h(x,0;\lambda) = \mathbf{a} & \text{for} \quad x \in h\mathbb{Z}^n. \end{cases} \tag{21}$$

Based on the embedding of $(x,t) \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ in $C\ell_{0,n}$ through the paravector representation $t+x = t+\sum_{j=1}^n \mathbf{e}_j x_j$, one may determine, in the same order of ideas of [5, Section 5], the solution of (21) as an hypercomplex version of the Taylor series expansion. Indeed, if $\mathbf{G}_h(x,t;\lambda)$ is a C^∞-function with respect to $t \in \mathbb{R}$ such that the $k-$derivative term

$$\Big[(\partial_t)^k \, \mathbf{G}_h(x,t;\lambda)\Big]_{t=0} = \mathbf{w}_k(x;h;\lambda)$$

is a Clifford-vector-valued polynomial of degree k, then the Taylor series expansion of $\mathbf{G}_h(x,t;\lambda)$ around $x \in h\mathbb{Z}^n$ given by

$$\mathbf{G}_h(x,t;\lambda) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{t^k}{k!} \, \mathbf{w}_k(x;h;\lambda) \tag{22}$$

uniquely determines the hypercomplex **EGF** for D_h^+ as solution of (21).

Now let us recast the quasi-monomiality principle in terms of the Fischer dual Λ_h. Based on the Fock space formalism, each Clifford-vector-valued polynomial $\mathbf{w}_k(x;h;\lambda)$ is constructed by means of the operational rule

$$\mathbf{w}_k(x;h;\lambda) = \mu_k \, (\Lambda_h)^k \, \mathbf{a}. \tag{23}$$

The constants $\mu_k \in \mathbb{R}$ $(k \in \mathbb{N}_0)$ given in (23) are thus determined from the condition $\mathbf{w}_0(x;h;\lambda) = \mathbf{a}$ (normalization condition) and from the Appell set constraint (20). Such operational representation enables to compute the hypercomplex **EGF** (22) through the operational representation $\mathbf{G}_h(x,t;\lambda) = \mathbf{G}(\Lambda_h,t)\mathbf{a}$, where the function

$$\mathbf{G}(x,t) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{t^k}{k!} \, \mu_k x^k \tag{24}$$

corresponds to the hypercomplex **EGF** encoded by the *continuum* Dirac operator $D = \sum_{j=1}^n \mathbf{e}_j \partial_{x_j}$.

The bold notations $\mathbf{G}$ and $\mathbf{G}_h$ are adopted throughout to make a clear distinction between the hypercomplex **EGF** of the above form and the multi-variable **EGF** G and G_h, respectively, already introduced in Subsection 2.2. In addition, the shortland notation $\mathbf{w}_k(x;h)$ resp. $\mathbf{G}_h(x,t)$ will be used when one refers to the Appell polynomial of degree k resp. to the hypercomplex **EGF** determined from the constant function $\lambda(y) = 1$, that is, the Clifford-vector-valued polynomials resp. the hypercomplex **EGF** generated from the Fischer dual X_h defined in (12).

The next example, corresponding to hypercomplex extension of the multi-index falling factorials (14), will be of special interest in the forthcoming subsections.

Example 3.2 (The hypercomplex version of the multi-index falling factorials). *The hypercomplex extension of the multi-index falling factorials* $(x;h)_\alpha$ *of order* $|\alpha| = k$ *provided by (14) is represented, for a given* $\mathbf{a} \in C\ell_{0,n}$*, through the operational formula*

$$\mathbf{w}_k(x;h) = \mu_k \left(X_h\right)^k \mathbf{a}.$$

From the Weyl-Heisenberg graded commuting relations (2) encoded by the set of ladder operators $M_j = x_j T_h^{-j}$*, is it clear that* $\left(X_h\right)^2$ *is a scalar-valued operator given by the summation formula*

$$\left(X_h\right)^2 = -\sum_{j=1}^{n} \left(x_j T_h^{-j}\right)^2.$$

A straightforward computation based on the multinomial formula gives rise to

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{w}_{2m}(x;h) &= \mu_{2m} \left(-\sum_{j=1}^{n} \left(x_j T_h^{-j}\right)^2\right)^m \mathbf{a} \\
&= (-1)^m \mu_{2m} \sum_{s=0}^{m} \sum_{|\alpha|=s} \frac{m!}{\alpha!} \, (x;h)_{2\alpha} \, \mathbf{a} \\
\mathbf{w}_{2m+1}(x;h) &= \frac{\mu_{2m+1}}{\mu_{2m}} \sum_{j=1}^{n} \mathbf{e}_j \, x_j T_h^{-j} \mathbf{w}_{2m}(x;h) \\
&= (-1)^m \mu_{2m+1} \sum_{j=1}^{n} \sum_{s=0}^{m} \sum_{|\alpha|=s} \frac{m!}{\alpha!} \, (x;h)_{2\alpha+\mathbf{e}_j} \, \mathbf{e}_j \mathbf{a}.
\end{aligned}$$

Next, one will recast the above Clifford-vector-valued polynomials in terms of the inverse of the $n-$*dimensional Weierstraß transform*

$$(W\mathbf{f})(x) = \frac{1}{(4\pi)^{\frac{n}{2}}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \mathbf{f}(x-y) \exp\left(-\frac{|y|^2}{4}\right) dy = \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2} D^2\right) \mathbf{f}(x).$$

Here one recalls that $\exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}D^2\right) = \prod_{j=1}^{n} \exp\left(\frac{1}{2}\left(\partial_{x_j}\right)^2\right)$ *corresponds the operational representation of the* $n-$*dimensional Weierstraß operator* W *on* $\mathcal{P} = \mathbb{R}[x] \otimes C\ell_{0,n}$ *(cf. [9, Section 5.3]). In particular, each multi-variable Hermite polynomial* $H_\beta(x)$ *of order* $|\beta|$*, determined from the action of* W^{-1} *on the multi-index polynomial* $x^\beta = x_1^{\beta_1} x_2^{\beta_2} \ldots x_n^{\beta_n}$ *of degree* $|\beta|$*, is thereby represented as*

$$\begin{aligned}
H_\beta(x) &= \exp\left(\frac{1}{2} D^2\right) x^\beta \\
&= \sum_{k=0}^{\left\lfloor \frac{|\beta|}{2} \right\rfloor} \sum_{|\alpha|=k} \frac{1}{\alpha!} \left(-\frac{1}{2h^2}\right)^{|\alpha|} (\beta h; h)_{2\alpha} \, x^{\beta-\alpha}.
\end{aligned}$$

Now set $\mathbf{e} = \sum_{j=1}^{n} \mathbf{e}_j$ *and* $|x|_1 = \sum_{j=1}^{n} \mathbf{e}_j |x_j|$*. From the constraint* $\sum_{j=1}^{n} |x_j| = 2mh$ *and the identity*

$$\sum_{s=0}^{m} \sum_{|\alpha|=s} \frac{m!}{\alpha!} \, (x;h)_{2\alpha} = m! \, \left(2h^2\right)^{2m} H_{\frac{|x|_1}{h}}\left(-\frac{1}{2h^2}\mathbf{e}\right)$$

one gets the following closed formula for $\mathbf{w}_{2m}(x;h)$ *on the lattice* $h\mathbb{Z}^n$*, written in terms of* $W^{-1} = \exp\left(\frac{1}{2}D^2\right)$*:*

$$\mathbf{w}_{2m}(x;h) = (-1)^m \mu_{2m} m! \ \left(2h^2\right)^{2m} \left(W^{-1} y^{\frac{|x|_1}{h}}\right) \left(-\frac{1}{2h^2}\mathbf{e}\right) \mathbf{a}.$$

Moreover, a closed formula for $\mathbf{w}_{2m+1}(x;h)$ *follows straightforwardly from combination of the above expression with the recursive relation*

$$\mathbf{w}_{2m+1}(x;h) = \frac{\mu_{2m+1}}{\mu_{2m}} \mathbf{w}_{2m}(x;h).$$

3.3. Classes of discrete hypercomplex polynomials

Next, one will look further for the construction of new classes of hypercomplex polynomials of discrete variable based on a Rodrigues-type representation of the operational formula (23). That consists into the construction of an operator $\sigma(D_h^+)$ that intertwines the Fischer duals $\Lambda_h = X_h - \left[\log \lambda(D_h^+), x\right]$ and X_h of D_h^+.

The subsequent lemma provides us the required ingredient to derive such representation formula in Proposition 3.2.

Lemma 3.1. *If the three generators* A, B, C *of a certain Lie algebra satisfy the graded commutation rules* $[A, B] = C$ *and* $[C, B] = 0$*, then for the exponentiation operator*

$$\exp(B) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k!} B^k$$

one gets $[A, \exp(B)] = C \exp(B)$*.*

Proof: First, recall the following summation formula that holds for every A, B and $k \in \mathbb{N}$:

$$\left[A, B^k\right] = \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} B^j [A, B] B^{k-1-j}.$$

Under the conditions $[A, B] = C$ and $[C, B] = 0$ one gets that the above summation formula equals to $\left[A, B^k\right] = CB^{k-1}$. This leads to

$$\begin{aligned} [A, \exp(B)] &= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k!} \left[A, B^k\right] \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(k-1)!} CB^{k-1} \\ &= C \exp(B). \end{aligned}$$

■

Proposition 3.2 (Rodrigues-type formula). *Let $\lambda(y)$ and $\Lambda_h = X_h - \left[\log \lambda(D_h^+), x\right]$ be the multi-variable function and the Fischer dual of D_h^+ given by Proposition 3.1, respectively, and $\{\mathbf{w}_k(x;h) \,:\, k \in \mathbb{N}_0\}$ the Appell set of Clifford-vector-valued polynomials obtained in Example 3.2.*

Then, the operator $\sigma\left(D_h^+\right) \in End(\mathcal{P})$ defined viz

$$\sigma(D_h^+) = \lambda\left[\exp(-x\cdot D) D_h^+ \exp(x\cdot D)\right],$$

with $x \cdot D = \sum_{j=1}^n x_j \partial_{x_j}$, satisfies $\Lambda_h = \sigma(D_h^+)^{-1}\ X_h\ \sigma(D_h^+)$.

Moreover, the Clifford-vector-valued polynomials $\mathbf{w}_k(x;h;\lambda)$, determined from the operational formula (23), are given by

$$\mathbf{w}_k(x;h;\lambda) = \lambda(\mathbf{0})\ \sigma(D_h^+)^{-1}\left[\mathbf{w}_k(x;h)\right].$$

Proof: Under the conditions of Proposition 3.1 one can see that the multi-variable function $\lambda(y)$ satisfies

$$\lambda\left(\frac{D_h^+ \exp(x\cdot y)}{\exp(x\cdot y)}\right) = \prod_{j=1}^n \kappa(y_j).$$

Thus, under the substitutions $y_j \to \partial_{x_j}$ on both sides of the above equation, one immediately gets that $\sigma(D_h^+) = \lambda\left[\exp(-x\cdot D) D_h^+ \exp(x\cdot D)\right]$ is shift-invariant and satisfies the log-relation

$$\log \sigma(D_h^+) = \sum_{j=1}^n \log \kappa\left(\partial_{x_j}\right).$$

Based on the same order of ideas considered in the proof of Proposition 3.1, the following set of graded commuting relations

$$[x_j, -\log\sigma(D_h^+)] = \left[\log\kappa\left(\partial_{x_j}\right), x_j\right] = \kappa'\left(\partial_{x_j}\right)\kappa\left(\partial_{x_j}\right)^{-1}$$

follows straightforwardly for each $j = 1, 2, \ldots, n$.

A direct application of Lemma 3.1 through the substitutions $A = x_j I$, $B = \exp\left(-\log\sigma(D_h^+)\right)$ and $C = \kappa'\left(\partial_{x_j}\right)\kappa\left(\partial_{x_j}\right)^{-1}$ gives rise to

$$\left[x_j, \sigma(D_h^+)^{-1}\right] = \left[x_j, \exp\left(-\log\sigma(D_h^+)\right)\right] = \kappa'\left(\partial_{x_j}\right)\kappa\left(\partial_{x_j}\right)^{-1}\sigma(D_h^+)^{-1}.$$

By multiplying both sides of the above identity on the right by $T_h^{-j} = \exp(-h\partial_{x_j})$ one obtains, after a straightforward computation, the following set of intertwining formulae

$$\left(x_j - \kappa'\left(\partial_{x_j}\right)\kappa\left(\partial_{x_j}\right)^{-1}\right) T_h^{-j}\sigma(D_h^+)^{-1} = \sigma(D_h^+)^{-1} x_j T_h^{-j}.$$

Hence, the relation

$$\left(X_h - \left[\log\lambda(D_h^+), x\right]\right)\sigma(D_h^+)^{-1} = \sigma(D_h^+)^{-1}\ X_h$$

follows straightforwardly from linearity arguments.

Finally, by multiplying both sides of the above relation on the right by $\sigma(D_h^+)$ results into the operational representation $\Lambda_h = \sigma(D_h^+)^{-1}\ X_h\ \sigma(D_h^+)$.

The statement for $\mathbf{w}_k(x;h;\lambda) = \lambda(\mathbf{0})\sigma(D_h^+)^{-1}\left[\mathbf{w}_k(x;h)\right]$ is then immediate from the previous operational representation formula for Λ_h and from the basic relations $\lambda(\mathbf{0}) = \kappa(0)^n$ ($\mathbf{0} \in \mathbb{R}^n$) and

$$\prod_{j=1}^{n} \kappa\left(\partial_{x_j}\right)\mathbf{a} = \prod_{j=1}^{n} \kappa(0)\mathbf{a} = \kappa(0)^n\mathbf{a}.$$

■

Based on the integral representation

$$\sigma\left(D_h^+\right)^{-1} = \int_0^\infty \exp\left(-\sigma(D_h^+)s\right)\, ds$$

resulting from the substitution $r \to \sigma\left(D_h^+\right)$ on the identity $r^{-1} = \int_0^\infty \exp(-rs)ds$, the next corollary is rather obvious.

Corollary 3.1 (Integral representation). *Under the conditions of Theorem 3.2, each Clifford-vector-valued polynomial $\mathbf{w}_k(x;h;\lambda)$ admits the following integral representation formula*

$$\mathbf{w}_k(x;h;\lambda) = \lambda(\mathbf{0})\int_0^\infty \exp\left(-s\sigma(D_h^+)\right)\left[\mathbf{w}_k(x;h)\right] ds.$$

Example 3.3 (Hypercomplex extension of Poisson-Charlier polynomials). *Let us consider again the function $\kappa(t) = \exp\left(\frac{a}{h}\left(\exp(ht)-1\right)\right)$ used in Example 2.1 to characterize the multi-variable Poisson-Charlier polynomials $\mathbf{c}_\alpha(x;h;a)$ of order $|\alpha|$.*

Following the same order of ideas of Example 3.2, the Clifford-vector-valued operator of the form $\Lambda_h = \sum_{j=1}^n \mathbf{e}_j(x_j T_h^{-j} - aI)$ gives rise to the formulae

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{w}_{2m}(x;h;\lambda) &= \mu_{2m}\left(-\sum_{j=1}^{n}(x_jT_h^{-j}-a)^2\right)^m \mathbf{a} \\
&= (-1)^m\mu_{2m}\sum_{s=0}^{m}\sum_{|\alpha|=s}\frac{m!}{\alpha!}\,\mathbf{c}_{2\alpha}(x;h;a)\,\mathbf{a} \\
\mathbf{w}_{2m+1}(x;h;\lambda) &= \frac{\mu_{2m+1}}{\mu_{2m}}\sum_{j=1}^{n}\mathbf{e}_j(x_jT_h^{-j}-a)\mathbf{w}_{2m}(x;h;\lambda) \\
&= (-1)^m\mu_{2m+1}\sum_{j=1}^{n}\sum_{s=0}^{m}\sum_{|\alpha|=s}\frac{m!}{\alpha!}\,\mathbf{c}_{2\alpha+\mathbf{e}_j}(x;h;a)\,\mathbf{e}_j\mathbf{a}.
\end{aligned}$$

Alternatively, based on the properties $\exp\left(a\partial_h^{+j}\right)\mathbf{a} = \mathbf{a}$, $\exp\left(-a\partial_h^{+j}\right) = \exp\left(a\partial_h^{+j}\right)^{-1}$ *and*

$$\prod_{j=1}^{n}\exp\left(-a\partial_h^{+j}\right) = \exp\left(-a\sum_{j=1}^{n}\partial_h^{+j}\right)$$

it follows from direct application of Proposition 3.1 and Proposition 3.2 that each $\mathbf{w}_k(x;h;\lambda)$ *admits the following operational representation*

$$\mathbf{w}_k(x;h;\lambda) = \exp\left(-a\sum_{j=1}^{n}\partial_h^{+j}\right)\mathbf{w}_k(x;h).$$

Remark 3.1 (The Poisson-Charlier connection). *It is straighforward to see that one can recast* $\Lambda_h = X_h - D_h^-$ *as*

$$\Lambda_h = \sum_{j=1}^{n}\mathbf{e}_j\left(\left(x_j+\frac{1}{h}\right)T_h^{-j} - \frac{1}{h}I\right).$$

Thus, the polynomials $\mathbf{w}_k(x,h;\lambda)$ *determined from the operatorial rule (23) may be described in terms of the hypercomplex Poisson-Charlier polynomials provided by Example 3.3. Through the parameter substitution* $a = \frac{1}{h}$*, such description is obtained in terms displaced vector variable* $x + \frac{1}{h}\mathbf{e}$*, with* $\mathbf{e} = \sum_{j=1}^{n}\mathbf{e}_j$*.*

3.4. Hypergeometric series representations

One have now the key ingredients to compute a closed formula for the hypercomplex **EGF** formulated in Subsection 3.2 from the set of equations (21). Based on the operational formula $\mathbf{G}_h(x,t;\lambda) = \mathbf{G}(\Lambda_h,t)\mathbf{a}$ one shall compute, first of all, the constants μ_k assigned by the operational formula (23) from the constraint

$$D\mathbf{G}(x,t) = t\mathbf{G}(x,t).$$

The next lemma, a particular case of [13, Lemma 3.1], is the key ingredient used in the proof of Proposition 3.3. Recall that the Clifford-vector-valued monomials x^k arising in the ansatz (24) satisfy $x^{2m} = (-1)^m|x|^{2m}$ $(k = 2m)$ and $x^{2m+1} = (-1)^m x|x|^{2m}$ $(k = 2m+1)$.

Lemma 3.2. *The Clifford-vector-valued monomials satisfy the recursive relations*

$$Dx^k \quad = \quad \begin{cases} -2m\ x^{2m-1}, & \text{if } \ k = 2m \in \mathbb{N}_0 \\ -(2m+n)x^{2m} & \text{if } \ k = 2m+1 \in \mathbb{N} \end{cases} \tag{25}$$

Proposition 3.3. *The* **EGF** *(24) satisfies* $D\mathbf{G}(x,t) = t\mathbf{G}(x,t)$ *if and only if the constants* μ_k *are equal to*

$$\mu_{2m} = (-1)^m\frac{\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)_m}{\left(\frac{n}{2}\right)_m} \quad \textit{and} \quad \mu_{2m+1} = (-1)^m\frac{\left(\frac{3}{2}\right)_m}{\left(\frac{n}{2}+1\right)_m}.$$

Moreover

$$\mathbf{G}(x,t) \quad = \quad {}_0F_1\left(\frac{n}{2};-\frac{t^2}{4}x^2\right) + tx\ {}_0F_1\left(\frac{n}{2}+1;-\frac{t^2}{4}x^2\right).$$

Proof: Direct application of Lemma 3.2 to each summand of (24) leads to the splitting formula

$$D\mathbf{G}(x,t) = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} -2m\mu_{2m}x^{2m-1}\ \frac{t^{2m}}{(2m)!} + \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} -(2m+n)\mu_{2m+1}x^{2m}\ \frac{t^{2m+1}}{(2m+1)!}.$$

Therefore $\mathbf{G}(x,t)$ is a solution of the equation $D\mathbf{G}(x,t) = t\mathbf{G}(x,t)$ if and only if

$$\frac{-2m\mu_{2m}}{(2m)!} = \frac{\mu_{2m-1}}{(2m-1)!} \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{-(2m+n)\mu_{2m+1}}{(2m+1)!} = \frac{\mu_{2m}}{(2m)!},$$

that is

$$\mu_{2m} = -\mu_{2m-1} \quad \text{and} \quad \mu_{2m+1} = -\frac{2m+1}{2m+n}\mu_{2m-1}.$$

Induction over $m \in \mathbb{N}_0$ shows that the μ_k's are explicitly given by the formulae

$$\mu_{2m} = (-1)^m \frac{\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)_m}{\left(\frac{n}{2}\right)_m} \text{ and } \mu_{2m+1} = (-1)^m \frac{\left(\frac{3}{2}\right)_m}{\left(\frac{n}{2}+1\right)_m},$$

where $(a)_m = \frac{\Gamma(a+m)}{\Gamma(a)}$ stands the Pochhammer symbol.

Hence, a short computation based on the properties

$$\frac{\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)_m}{(2m)!} = \frac{\left(\frac{1}{4}\right)^m}{m!} = \frac{\left(\frac{3}{2}\right)_m}{(2m+1)!}$$

gives in turn the above hypergeometric series splitting of type ${}_0F_1$ for $\mathbf{G}(x,t)$:

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbf{G}(x,t) &= \sum_{m=0}^{\infty}(-1)^m \frac{\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)_m}{\left(\frac{n}{2}\right)_m}x^{2m}\frac{t^{2m}}{(2m)!} + \sum_{m=0}^{\infty}(-1)^m \frac{\left(\frac{3}{2}\right)_m}{\left(\frac{n}{2}+1\right)_m}x^{2m+1}\frac{t^{2m+1}}{(2m+1)!} \\ &= {}_0F_1\left(\frac{n}{2}; -\frac{t^2}{4}x^2\right) + tx\ {}_0F_1\left(\frac{n}{2}+1; -\frac{t^2}{4}x^2\right).\end{aligned}$$

■

Direct combination of the above proposition with Proposition 3.2, the next corollary follows naturally.

Corollary 3.2. *The hypercomplex* **EGF** $\mathbf{G}_h(x,t)$ *defined for* $\lambda(y) = 1$ *via the Taylor series expansion (22) has the formal hypergeometric series representation*

$$\mathbf{G}_h(x,t) = {}_0F_1\left(\frac{n}{2}; -\frac{t^2}{4}\left(X_h\right)^2\right)\mathbf{a} + tX_h\ {}_0F_1\left(\frac{n}{2}+1; -\frac{t^2}{4}\left(X_h\right)^2\right)\mathbf{a}.$$

Moreover, under the conditions of Proposition 3.2 the hypercomplex **EGF** $\mathbf{G}_h(x,t;\lambda)$ *is given by*

$$\mathbf{G}_h(x,t;\lambda) = \lambda(\mathbf{0})\sigma(D_h^+)^{-1}\left[\mathbf{G}_h(x,t)\right].$$

Remark 3.2. *The ${}_0F_1$-hypergeometric series representation obtained on Corollary 3.2 shows that the hypercomplex* **EGF** $\mathbf{G}_h(x,t;\lambda)$ *that arises from the substitution* $x \to \Lambda_h$ *on* $\mathbf{G}(x,t)\mathbf{a}$ *is of Bessel type and close to the monogenic exponential function obtained in [5, Theorem 2]. Indeed, based on the hypergeometric representation for the Bessel function* $J_s(u)$ *of order* s*:*

$$J_s(u) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(s+1)}\left(\frac{u}{2}\right)^s \, {}_0F_1\left(s+1; -\frac{u^2}{4}\right),$$

the hypercomplex **EGF** $\mathbf{G}(x,t)$ *obtained in Proposition 3.3 is equivalent to*

$$\mathbf{G}(x,t) = \Gamma\left(\frac{n}{2}\right)\left(\frac{tx}{2}\right)^{-\frac{n}{2}+1}\left(J_{\frac{n}{2}-1}(tx) + n\; J_{\frac{n}{2}}(tx)\right).$$

Remark 3.3. *Based on Example 3.2 the even powers* $(X_h)^{2m}\mathbf{a}$ *are described, for each* $x \in h\mathbb{Z}^n$ *satisfying* $\|x\|_1 := \sum_{j=1}^n |x_j| = 2mh$*, by the set of identities*

$$\begin{aligned}(X_h)^{2m}\mathbf{a} &= (-1)^m \frac{\left(\frac{n}{2}\right)_m}{\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)_m}\mathbf{w}_{2m}(x;h)\\ &= (-1)^m m!\left(-\frac{1}{2h}\mathbf{e}\right)^{-\frac{|x|_1}{h}} H_{\frac{|x|_1}{h}}\left(-\frac{1}{2h}\mathbf{e}\right)\mathbf{a},\end{aligned}$$

where $H_\beta(y) = \exp\left(\frac{1}{2}D^2\right) y^\beta$ *stands the multi-variable Hermite polynomials of order* $|\beta| = 2m$*.*

Hence, the hypergeometric functions of the form ${}_0F_1\left(s+1; -\frac{t^2}{4}\left(X_h\right)^2\right)\mathbf{a}$*, provided by Corollary 3.2, are explicitly given by*

$${}_0F_1\left(s+1; -\frac{t^2}{4}\left(X_h\right)^2\right)\mathbf{a} = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty}\frac{\left(h^2t\right)^{2m}}{(s+1)_m}\sum_{\|x\|_1=2mh} H_{\frac{|x|_1}{h}}\left(-\frac{1}{2h}\mathbf{e}\right)\mathbf{a}.$$

4. Further directions

The operational characterization given by Proposition 3.2 enables us to compute, from the knowledge of the hypercomplex extension of the falling factorials considered in Example 3.2, several classes of hypercomplex polynomials of discrete variable were derived in a simbolic way like e.g. the hypercomplex extension of the Poisson-Charlier polynomials illustrated in Example 3.3. Part of this characterization implies that for each $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$ the hypercomplex Poisson-Charlier polynomial of degree k underlying to the parameter values $a > 0$ yields as a solution of the following differential-difference equation

$$\begin{cases}\partial_a \mathbf{f}(x,a) = -a\sum_{j=1}^n \partial_h^{+j}\mathbf{f}(x,a) & \text{for} \quad (x,a) \in h\mathbb{Z}^n \times [0,\infty)\\ \mathbf{f}(x,0) = \mathbf{w}_k(x;h) & \text{for} \quad x \in h\mathbb{Z}^n.\end{cases} \tag{26}$$

whereas for $a < 0$ the hypercomplex Charlier polynomial of degree k may be recovered from the mapping transformation $\mathbf{f}(x,a) \mapsto \mathbf{f}(x,-a)$ on (26).

From a general perspective, the integral representation given by Corollary 3.1 enables us to determine each $\mathbf{w}_k(x;h;\lambda)$ as $\mathbf{w}_k(x;h;\lambda)=\int_0^\infty \mathbf{f}(x,s)ds$, where $\mathbf{f}(x,s)$ is a solution of the following differential-difference time-evolution problem on the space-time domain $h\mathbb{Z}^n\times[0,\infty)$

$$\begin{cases} \partial_s \mathbf{f}(x,s) = -s\sigma(D_h^+)\mathbf{f}(x,s) & \text{for} \quad (x,s)\in h\mathbb{Z}^n\times(0,\infty) \\ \\ \mathbf{f}(x,0)=\mathbf{w}_k(x;h) & \text{for} \quad x\in h\mathbb{Z}^n. \end{cases} \tag{27}$$

Another perspective for the integral representation of $\mathbf{w}_k(x;h;\lambda)$ obtained in Corollary 3.1 may also be obtained through the representation of the exponentiation operator $\exp\left(-s\sigma(D_h^+)\right)$ on the momentum space on the $n-$cube $Q_h=\left(-\frac{\pi}{h},\frac{\pi}{h}\right)^n$, the corresponding *Brioullin zone* [6] of the lattice $h\mathbb{Z}^n$. Such representation involves the 'discrete Fourier' [7] transform (cf. [17, Subsection 5.2] and [18])

$$(\mathcal{F}_h\mathbf{g})(y) \quad = \quad \begin{cases} \frac{h^n}{(2\pi)^{\frac{n}{2}}}\sum_{x\in h\mathbb{Z}^n}\mathbf{g}(x)\exp(ix\cdot y) & \text{for} \quad y\in Q_h \\ \\ 0 & \text{for} \quad y\in\mathbb{R}^n\setminus Q_h. \end{cases}$$

Here we recall that the 'discrete Fourier' transform $\mathcal{F}_h$ is a unitary operator from $\ell_2(h\mathbb{Z}^n)$ onto $L_2(Q_h)$, whose inverse is given by the restriction of the Fourier transform $\mathcal{F}:L_2(\mathbb{R}^n)\to L_2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ to the lattice $h\mathbb{Z}^n$, that is $\mathcal{F}_h^{-1}=\mathcal{R}_h\mathcal{F}$ where $\mathcal{R}_h\mathbf{g}(x)$ stands the restriction of the function $\mathbf{g}(x)$ to $h\mathbb{Z}^n$ and

$$(\mathcal{F}\mathbf{g})(x)=\frac{1}{(2\pi)^{\frac{n}{2}}}\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}\mathbf{g}(y)\exp(-ix\cdot y)dy. \tag{28}$$

On the other hand, from the unitary one-to-one correspondence $\kappa(\partial_{x_j})\mapsto\kappa(-iy_j)$ provided by Fourier transform (28), one infers from the construction of $\lambda(D_h^+)$ and $\sigma(D_h^+)$ provided by Proposition 3.1 and Proposition 3.2, respectively, the following one-to-one correspondence

$$\mathcal{F}:\exp\left(-s\sigma(D_h^+)\right)\mathbf{g}(x)\mapsto\exp\left(-s\lambda\left(\frac{D_h^+\exp(-ix\cdot y)}{\exp(-ix\cdot y)}\right)\right)(\mathcal{F}\mathbf{g})(y).$$

Based on this, one can thus infer that the integral description of $\mathbf{w}_k(x;h;\lambda)$, provided by Corollary 3.1 corresponds in the momentum space to the following integral representation over $Q_h\times[0,\infty)$:

$$\frac{\lambda(\mathbf{0})}{(2\pi)^{\frac{n}{2}}}\int_0^\infty\int_{Q_h}\exp\left(-s\lambda\left(\frac{D_h^+\exp(-ix\cdot y)}{\exp(-ix\cdot y)}\right)-ix\cdot y\right)(\mathcal{F}_h\mathbf{w}_k)(y;h)dyds.$$

[6] Roughly speaking, the *Brioullin zone* corresponds to the cellular decomposition of $\mathbb{R}^n$ determined from a lattice such that there is a one-to-one correspondence between each point of the lattice and each boundary point of the underlying cell on the momentum space.

[7] The 'discrete Fourier' transform is a particular case of a *Fourier quadrature rule* used to represent a lattice function on the momentum space. Further details may concerning this may be found in [15].

Integral representations of the above type may be applied to study spectral problems in the context of discrete quantum mechanics (cf. [10, Section 6]). Although the eigenfunctions of any finite difference Dirac operator may be computed in terms of formal power series representations (for example, the hypercomplex formulation of **EGF** provided by equation (21)), the existence of 'doublers'[8] inside the *Brillouin zone* is notoriously the major difficult in case that self-adjoint discretizations such as $\frac{1}{2}\left(D^{+}_{h/2}+D^{-}_{h/2}\right)$ are considered (cf. [26, Chapter 4]).

Besides the hypercomplex extension of multi-variable falling factorials $(x;h)_{\alpha}$ defined in (14), the construction obtained in Example 3.2 gives us some interesting insights concerning applications in sampling theory and integral transforms. Part of the construction provided on this example even shows that the hypercomplex polynomials $\mathbf{w}_{k}(x;h)$ may be reconstructed from the sampling points determined from the intersection of the lattice $h\mathbb{Z}^{n}$ with the level curves determined from the 1-norm constraint

$$\sum_{j=1}^{n}|x_{j}|=2\left\lfloor\frac{k}{2}\right\rfloor h,$$

as it is despicted in Figure 1.

Based on this observation, one can thus obtain the Taylor series approximation for a continuous function $\mathbf{f}(x)$ defined on a bounded domain Ω of $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ by simply take the $m-$term truncation of the hypercomplex **EGF** $\mathbf{G}_{h}(x,t)$ (cf. Corollary 3.2 and Remark 3.3). The choice of m is based on the fact that the level curve labeled by the parameter $k=2m$ shall corresponds to the maximal level curve contained in Ω.

This point of view, roughly considered in [8], was applied recently in [1] to compute the discrete counterpart of the *heat kernel* [9] as a solution of the differential-difference equation

$$\begin{cases}\partial_{t}\mathbf{g}(x,t)=\sum_{j=1}^{n}\dfrac{\mathbf{g}(x+h\mathbf{e}_{j},t)+\mathbf{g}(x-h\mathbf{e}_{j},t)-2\mathbf{g}(x,t)}{h^{2}} & ,(x,t)\in h\mathbb{Z}^{n}\times[0,\infty)\\ \\ \mathbf{g}(x,0)=\mathbf{m}_{\alpha}(x) & ,x\in h\mathbb{Z}^{n}.\end{cases}$$

On this direction one would like to stress that the construction considered in [8] shall be understood as special case of a more general framework on which the interpolating functions are computed upon the action of an integral transform on the quasi-monomials $\mathbf{m}_{\alpha}(x)$ constructed from the operational rule (16). On the other hand, the action of the propagator $\exp\left(-\frac{t}{4}\left(D^{+}_{h/2}+D^{-}_{h/2}\right)^{2}\right)$ on each $\mathbf{m}_{\alpha}(x)$ results into solutions of the above differential-difference equation. Hereby each $\mathbf{m}_{\alpha}(x)$ may be taken from the range of multi-variable polynomials explored in Example 2.3 (or even in [10, Example 4 of Section 2]) through the substitution $h\to\frac{h}{2}$.

Obviously, this approach can be applied and extend to other shapes. For example, the inspiring work of Kisil [20] sheds some insights about how such *quasi-monomiality*

[8] From the Fourier analysis side, the presence of 'doublers' is equivalent to say that the symbol of the finite difference Dirac operator has many zeroes inside the *Brillouin zone*.

[9] i.e. the kernel of $t^{-\frac{n}{2}}(W\mathbf{f})\left(\frac{x}{\sqrt{t}}\right)$, where W denotes the Weierstraß transform considered in Example 3.2.



Figure 1: Construction of the hypercomplex polynomials on the lattice $[-10, 10]^2 \cap \mathbb{Z}^2$ provided by Example 3.2.

formulation shall be applied to formulate path integrals. Its feasibility will be discussed on further research in comparison with other well-known path integral formalisms (cf. [26, Chapter 2]).

Acknowledgments

The author acknowledges the anonymous referees for the various helpful comments and suggestions.

References

[1] F. Baaske, S. Bernstein, H. De Ridder, F. Sommen, On solutions of a discretized heat equation in discrete Clifford analysis, Journal of Difference Equations and Applications 20(2) (2014) 271–295.

[2] C. Belingeri, G. Dattoli, S. Khan, P.E. Ricci, Monomiality and multi-index multi-variable special polynomials, Integral Transforms and Special Functions 18(7) (2007) 449-458.

[3] Y. Ben Cheikh, A. Zaghouani, Some discrete d-orthogonal polynomial sets, Journal of computational and applied mathematics 156(2) (2003) 253-263.

[4] P. Blasiak, G. Dattoli, A. Horzela, K.A. Penson, Representations of monomiality principle with Sheffer-type polynomials and boson normal ordering, Physics Letters A 352(1) (2006) 7-12.

[5] I. Cação, M.I. Falcão, H.R. Malonek, Laguerre derivative and monogenic Laguerre polynomials: an operational approach, Math. Comp. Modelling 53(5) (2011) 838–847.

[6] D. Constales, N. Faustino, R.S. Kraußhar, Fock spaces, Landau operators and the time-harmonic Maxwell equations, Journal of Physics A: Mathematical and Theoretical 44(13) (2011) 135303.

[7] G. Dattoli, H.M. Srivastava, S. Khan, Operational versus Lie-algebraic methods and the theory of multi-variable Hermite polynomials, Integral Transforms and Special Functions, 16(1) (2005) 81–91.

[8] H. De Ridder, H. De Schepper, F. Sommen, Taylor Series Expansion in Discrete Clifford Analysis, Complex Analysis and Operator Theory 8(2) (2014) 485–511.
[9] A. Di Bucchianico, D.E. Loeb, G-C. Rota Umbral calculus in Hilbert space, In: B. Sagan and R.P. Stanley (eds.), Mathematical Essays in Honor of Gian-Carlo Rota, Birkhäuser, Boston (1998) 213–238.
[10] A. Dimakis, F. Mueller-Hoissen, T. Striker, Umbral calculus, discretization, and quantum mechanics on a lattice, J. Phys. A 29 (1996) 6861–6876.
[11] D. Eelbode, Monogenic Appell sets as representations of the Heisenberg algebra, Adv. Appl. Cliff. Alg., 22(4) (2012) 1009–1023.
[12] N. Faustino, U. Kähler, Fischer Decomposition for Difference Dirac Operators, Adv. Appl. Cliff. Alg., 17(1) (2007) 37–58.
[13] N. Faustino, G. Ren, (Discrete) Almansi type decompositions: an umbral calculus framework based on $\mathfrak{osp}(1|2)$ symmetries, Math. Meth. Appl. Sci., 34(16) (2011) 1961–1979.
[14] N. Faustino, Special Functions of Hypercomplex Variable on the Lattice Based on $SU(1,1)$, SIGMA 9 (2013) 065 18 pages http://dx.doi.org/10.3842/SIGMA.2013.065.
[15] S. Froyen, Brillouin-zone integration by Fourier quadrature: Special points for superlattice and supercell calculations, Physical Review B, 39 (1989) 3168-3172.
[16] L. Gagnon, P. Winternitz, Lie symmetries of a generalised nonlinear Schrodinger equation: I. The symmetry group and its subgroups, Journal of Physics A: Mathematical and General, 21(7) (1988) 1493.
[17] K. Gürlebeck, W. Sprössig, Quaternionic and Clifford calculus for physicists and engineers , Wiley, Chichester, 1997.
[18] K. Gürlebeck, A. Hommel, On finite difference Dirac operators and their fundamental solutions, Adv. Appl. Cliff. Alg., 11(2) (2001) 89–106.
[19] R. Howe, Remarks on classical invariant theory, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 313 (1989) 539–570, DOI: 10.2307/2001418.
[20] V.V. Kisil, Polynomial Sequences of Binomial Type and Path Integrals, Annals of Combinatorics, 6(1) (2002) 45–56.
[21] R. Lávička, Complete orthogonal Appell systems for spherical monogenics, Complex Analysis and Operator Theory, 6(2) (2012) 477–489.
[22] D. Levi, P. Tempesta, P. Winternitz, Umbral calculus, difference equations and the discrete Schrödinger equation, J. Math. Phys., 45(11) (2004) 4077–4105.
[23] H.R. Malonek, G. Tomaz, Bernoulli Polynomials and Pascal Matrices in the Context of Clifford Analysis, Discrete Appl. Math., 157 (2009) 838–847
[24] W. A. Rodrigues Jr., E. C. de Oliveira, The many faces of Maxwell, Dirac and Einstein equations: a Clifford bundle approach, Vol. 722, Springer, Heidelberg, 2007.
[25] S. Roman, The Umbral Calculus, Academic Press, Orlando, FL, 1984.
[26] H. J. Rothe, Lattice gauge theories: an introduction, Vol. 74, World Scientific, Singapore, 2005.
[27] H. M. Srivastava , Y. Ben Cheikh, Orthogonality of some polynomial sets via quasi-monomiality, Applied Mathematics and Computation, 141(2) (2003) 415–425.
[28] Y. Smirnov, A. Turbiner, Lie algebraic discretization of differential equations, Modern Phys. Lett. A 10(24) (1995) 1795–1802.
Y. Smirnov, A. Turbiner, Errata: Lie algebraic discretization of differential equations, Modern Phys. Lett. A 10(40) (1995) 3139.
[29] F. Sommen, An Algebra of Abstract vector variables, Portugaliae Math., 54(3) (1997) 287–310.
[30] A.V. Turbiner, A.G. Ushveridze, Spectral singularities and quasi-exactly solvable quantal problem. Physics Letters A, 126(3) (1987) 181–183.
[31] L. Vinet, A. Zhedanov . Automorphisms of the Heisenberg–Weyl algebra and d-orthogonal polynomials, Journal of Mathematical Physics, 50 (2009) 033511, DOI: 10.1063/1.3087425.
[32] E.P. Wigner, Do the Equations of Motion Determine the Quantum Mechanical Commutation Relations?, Phys. Rev. 77 (1950) 711–712.